

4th October 2013, Hanoi

Integrated REDD+ accounting frameworks

Jeremy Broadhead, PhD

LEAF Senior Forestry and Land Use Policy Advisor



Outline

1. Decisions Support Tool objectives
2. National REDD+ accounting frameworks
3. Integrating Reference Levels (RLs)
4. Integrating Measurement Reporting and Verification (MRV) systems
5. Incentive allocation

Objectives

To provide guidance on the design and establishment of national-level REDD+ accounting frameworks

- (i) How will the national REDD+ accounting framework be structured?
- (ii) What decisions need to be made to integrate subnational activities into a national level REDD+ accounting frameworks? And
- (iii) How and at what levels should incentives be distributed?

National REDD+ Accounting Frameworks

A system of rules which:

- Allows accurate estimates of national-level emission reductions to be created
- Defines how crediting and incentive allocation will occur
- UNFCCC requires REDD+ MRV and RL to be reported at the national level, but allows for subnational as interim step

National REDD+ Accounting Frameworks

Two main choices:


- national-level implementation or
- integrate existing and future sub-national initiatives

Established through a REDD+ decree or similar



Integrating Reference Levels (RL)

Reference level: A benchmark for assessing performance in reducing emissions, expressed in tons of carbon dioxide equivalent per year.



Integrating Reference Levels (RL)

- In Viet Nam RL work has been initiated at subnational and national levels.
- Where methodologies differ, these RLs could:
 - Cover different activities (deforestation, degradation, conservation, forest management, and carbon stock enhancement)
 - Cover different carbon pools (live biomass, deadwood, litter, soil carbon and harvested wood products)
 - Use different methods (linear historic projections vs. adjusted projections) for estimating future emissions
 - Use different years and different resolution imagery for historical analyses

Integrating Reference Levels (RL)

Questions:

- Will sub-national RLs be over-written by a national RL?
 - Or can sub-national RLs be ‘cut out’ from a future national RL?
- Do significant gaps exist in RL methodologies (pools, gases, activities)?
 - If so can they be reconciled? How?
 - What minimum standards need to be established to ensure future RLs are harmonized and UNFCCC compliant?




Integrating Reference Levels (RL)

Comparison of RL approaches	
National	Sub- national
Capitalizes on economies of scale	May be higher in accuracy and sampling intensity
Simplifies accounting structure	Could be difficult to harmonize with other RLs
'One size fits all'	May cover more gases, pools, or activities
Creating a wall-to-wall RL may take a long time	Better linked to sub-national activities

Integrating Measuring Reporting and Verification

Forest carbon measurement:

Combines an analysis of remote sensing data with field-data collected from inventory plots



Integrating MRV

- MRV systems are being developed at the subnational and national levels
- MRVs may differ in:
 - Activities, carbon pools and gases included
 - Field sampling intensity
 - Field plot size/shape
 - Accuracy of allometric equations
 - Resolution of, and techniques used to analyze remote sensing imagery
- Need to link subnational and national



Integrating MRV

Questions:

- Will sub-national MRV systems be overwritten by a national MRV system?
 - Or can sub-national MRV be ‘cut out’ from a future national RL?
- Do significant methodological gaps exist in current forest carbon measurement approaches?
 - If so can they be reconciled? How?
 - If MRV systems are allowed at the sub-national level what minimum standards need to be established to ensure compatibility?

Integrating MRV

Comparison of MRV approaches	
National	Sub- national
Capitalizes on economies of scale, cheaper to implement	Likely to occur with higher sampling intensity and resolution
Single methodological approach	'Cutting out' MRV could be difficult to justify to UNFCCC
May not cover all gases, pools, or activities relevant at sub-national level	Tailored to relevant pools/gases/activities, and likely to be more accurate
Simplifies accounting structure	Expensive to establish and repeatedly measure
Centralised	Greater stakeholder involvement


Incentive allocation

- Advance payments?
- Performance-based?
- At what level – national, subnational, local?
- Where land use decisions are made at the subnational level an RL at the same scale is likely to provide benefits.
- Devolving responsibility performance closer to resource management can promote equity and efficiency.

Incentive allocation

- Form of incentives?
- What activities will qualify for incentives?
- Who will be eligible to receive incentives?
- Factors involved in decisions over incentives allocation
 - the level at which it is most appropriate to address drivers of deforestation and degradation,
 - the available capacity at different levels,
 - efficiency and equity considerations and
 - likely transaction costs.

Incentive allocation




Potential incentive allocation pathways for nested REDD+ under national accounting

Decision Support tool: Integrated REDD+ accounting frameworks

Describes decisions to be made in creating a REDD+ National Accounting Framework, with a focus on:

1. National REDD+ accounting framework structure;
2. Integrating subnational and project level activities into a national level REDD+ accounting framework;
3. How and at what levels incentives should be distributed; and
4. What procedural decisions need to be made by whom.



THANK YOU!



- Safeguard approaches currently not harmonized across REDD+ provinces (JICA, LEAF, GIZ, UN-REDD)
- FCPF emissions reduction across several provinces;
- National guidance required.